

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 12/22/1999 YUICHI KUNORI 09/469,497 49657-5 5036 **EXAMINER** 20277 7590 12/24/2003 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY SONG, JASMINE 600 13TH STREET, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096 2188 DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•					
			on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/469,49	97	KUNORI, YUICHI	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jasmine 3	Song	2188	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Faill - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of t	136(a). In no even bly within the state will apply and with te, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 (October 200	<u>3</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-23</u> is/are pending in the application.				
ŕ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-13,15 and 18-21</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16,17,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.				
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	equirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
* (13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language process of the priority of the foreign language pri	ts have bee ts have bee ority docume ou (PCT Rule t of the certi tic priority ur rst sentence ovisional ap	n received. In received in Applications have been received in 17.2(a)). If it is in the copies not received and it is in the specification or plication has been received at 35 U.S.C. § 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2188

Detailed Action

1. This Office Action is in responses to the amendment D filed on filed on 10/03/2003, claims 1-23 are pending in the application, claims 1-13,15,18-21 have been allowed, claim 14 has been cancelled, and claims 16-17 and 22-23 are represented for examination.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 16-17, 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuwano et al., U.S. Patent 6,571,311 B2 in view of Wong., US patent 6,160,739.

Regarding claims 16, Kuwano teaches that a method for writing data to a non-volatile semiconductor memory device (it is taught as writing data to the EEPROM as

Art Unit: 2188

shown in the Fig.2B and 2C and Fig.3), said non-volatile semiconductor memory device including a memory cell storing first and second data (it is taught as data signal RD[x], where "x" is either 0,1,2 or 3) and first and second registers (it is taught as EEPROM data register block 303 which have plurality registers), said method comprising the step of:

storing (Fig.2B or 2C or Fig.3) in said first register (one of the register such as the flip-flop circuit 701 in the Fig.6 and Fig.7, col.10, lines 48-52 and col.11, lines 11- 18, such as 701-1) said first data (the data signal RD[x], where "x" is either 0,1,2 or 3, such as RD[0]) input from the outside of said non-volatile semiconductor memory device (it is shown in the Fig. 2B or 2C or Fig.3);

writing said first data stored in said first register to said EEPROM (it is shown in the Fig. 2B or 2C or Fig.3, it is taught as writing the first data RD[0] stored in the first register 701-1 to the EEPROM);

storing (Fig.2B or 2C or Fig.3) in said second register (one of the register such as the flip-flop circuit 701 in the Fig.6 and Fig.7, col.10, lines 48-52 and col.11, lines 11- 18, such as 701-2) said second data (the data signal RD[x], where "x" is either 0,1,2 or 3, such as RD[1]) input from the outside of said non-volatile semiconductor memory device (it is shown in the Fig. 2B or 2C or Fig.3); and

writing said second data stored in said second register to said EEPROM (it is shown in the Fig. 2B or 2C or Fig.3, it is taught as writing the second data RD[1] stored in the second register 701-2 to the EEPROM).

Art Unit: 2188

Kuwano does not teach that writing the second data to the memory cell to which said first data has been already written. Kuwano only teaches that writing the first data stored in the first register and the second data stored in the second register to the EEPROM in parallel. However, Wong teaches that writing the second data (the data value "0") to the memory cell (the same memory cell to the first data value "1" stored in) to which said first data (the data value "1") has been already written (col.10, lines 64 to col.11, lines 5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Wong in the memory system of Kuwano and writing the second data to the memory cell to which said first data has been already written for the advantage of reducing the frequency of erase operations and to increase the endurance of non-volatile memories in order to prevent performance degradation and extend the usable lifetime of the memory (col.3, lines 57-60 and col.5, lines 3-4).

According, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized this and concluded that they are from the same field of endeavor. This would have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the above combination for the advantage set forth above.

Regarding claim 17 Wong teaches that wherein said step of writing said first data overlaps with said step of storing said second data (col.10, lines 17-43).

Art Unit: 2188

Regarding claim 22, Wong teaches that wherein said step of storing said second data is performed after said step of storing said first data (col.9, lines 51-53).

Regarding claim 23, Wong teaches that wherein said step of writing said second data is performed after said step of writing said first data (col.9, lines 65-66).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-13,15,18-21 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/469,497

Art Unit: 2188

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37 C.F.R. 1.111 (c).
- 9. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jasmine Song whose telephone number is 703-305-7701. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-306-2903. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900. Mans Rochandh

Jasmine Song

Mano Padmanabhan

Patent Examiner

Supervisory Patent Examiner

December 22, 2003

Technology Center 2100